

## **Strength in Grammar**

*Workshop, Leipzig University, November 10+11, 2017*

**Deadline: October 11, 2017**

Strength of elements is a recurrent notion in grammatical theory, especially in analyses for exceptional behaviour of morphemes or phonological segments. Yet there is no agreement about the concrete nature of ‘strength’: Several recent proposals assume that it is an irreducible property of certain elements in underlying phonological or morphological representations (Vaxman, 2016*a,b*; Smolensky and Goldrick, 2016; Rosen, 2016) whereas others argue that strength should be derivable from the structural position of an element (Beckman, 1998; Revithiadou, 1999; Nasukawa and Backley, 2009) or from notions like contrastiveness or stability of context (Rhodes, 2012; Inkelas, 2015).

Strength is employed to explain various empirical phenomena: The choice between lexically listed allomorphs follows, for example, from a preference- or strength-hierarchy of allomorphs in a language (Mascaró, 2007; Bonet et al., 2007) or the asymmetrical behaviour that only some phonological elements are triggers and/or targets for phonological assimilation processes follows from assuming that they are stronger than surface-similar elements (Rhodes, 2012; Inkelas, 2015).

Whereas proponents of some concept of strength in grammar thus argue that it allows representational accounts for apparently exceptional behaviour in different parts of the grammar and hence makes morpheme-specific grammatical mechanisms or sub-grammars unnecessary (Pater, 2000, 2006, 2009; Inkelas et al., 2004; Inkelas and Zoll, 2007), doubts have been raised that such a notion enriches the grammar with too much predictive power. This workshop aims to discuss the arguments for and against different concepts of strength in grammar and answer questions like:

- Are there arguments for a formal notion of ‘strength’ in grammar or does it fall out as an epiphenomenon from independently motivated structural differences like underspecification?
- Is strength an idiosyncratic property of certain elements in the lexicon or is it an epiphenomenon derived from structural positions?
- What other (grammar-external) factors like frequency or context-stability can predict strength?
- In which empirical areas do we observe strength or competition between elements that are otherwise surface-identical or -similar?
- Most accounts that employ strength are phonological: Does strength play a role in morphology outside of allomorph selection? Does it play any role in syntactic accounts?

## References

- Beckman, Jill (1998), Positional Faithfulness, PhD thesis, University of Massachusetts at Amherst.
- Bonet, Eulàlia, Maria-Rosa Lloret and Joan Mascaró (2007), 'Allomorph selection and lexical preferences: Two case studies', *Lingua* 117(6), 903–927.
- Inkelas, Sharon (2015), Confidence scales: A new approach to derived environment effects, in Y. E.Hsiao and L.-H.Weese, eds, 'Capturing Phonological Shades Within and Across Languages', Cambridge Scholars Publishing, Newcastle upon Tyne, pp. 45–75.
- Inkelas, Sharon, C. Orhan Orgun and Cheryl Zoll (2004), The implications of lexical exceptions for the nature of grammar, in J.McCarthy, ed., 'Optimality Theory in Phonology: A Book of Readings', Blackwell, Oxford, pp. 542–551.
- Inkelas, Sharon and Cheryl Zoll (2007), 'Is grammar dependence real? A comparison between cophonological and indexed constraint approaches to morphologically conditioned phonology', *Linguistics* 45(1), 133–171.
- Mascaró, Joan (2007), 'External allomorphy and lexical representation', *Linguistic Inquiry* 38, 715–735.
- Nasukawa, Kuniya and Phillip Backley, eds (2009), *Strength relations in phonology*, de Gruyter.
- Pater, Joe (2000), 'Nonuniformity in English stress: the role of ranked and lexically specific constraints', *Phonology* 17(2), 237–274.
- Pater, Joe (2006), The locus of exceptionality: Morpheme-specific phonology as constraint indexation, in L.Bateman, M.O'Keefe, E.Reilly and A.Werle, eds, 'Papers in Optimality Theory III', GLSA, Amherst, MA, pp. 259–296.
- Pater, Joe (2009), Morpheme-specific phonology: Constraint indexation and inconsistency resolution, in S.Parker, ed., 'Phonological Argumentation: Essays on Evidence and Motivation', Equinox, London, pp. 123–154.
- Revithiadou, Anthi (1999), Headmost Accent Wins: Head Dominance and Ideal Prosodic Form in Lexical Accent Systems., PhD thesis, LOT Dissertation Series 15 (HIL/Leiden Universiteit), Holland Academic Graphics, The Hague.
- Rhodes, Russell (2012), 'Vowel harmony as agreement by correspondence', ms. University of California Berkeley.
- Rosen, Eric (2016), Predicting the unpredictable: Capturing the apparent semi-regularity of rendaku voicing in Japanese through harmonic grammar, in E.Clem, V.Dawson, A.Shen, A. H.Skilton, G.Bacon, A.Cheng and E. H.Maier, eds, 'Proceedings of BLS 42', Berkeley Linguistic Society, pp. 235–249.
- Smolensky, Paul and Matthew Goldrick (2016), 'Gradient symbolic representations in grammar: The case of French Liaison', *ROA* 1286.
- Vaxman, Alexandre (2016a), 'Diacritic weight in the extended accent first theory', *University of Pennsylvania Working Papers in Linguistics* 22.
- Vaxman, Alexandre (2016b), How to Beat without Feet: Weight Scales and Parameter Dependencies in the Computation of Word Accent, PhD thesis, University of Connecticut.